



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,943	11/18/2003	Masami Makuuchi	500.43281X00	3730
20457 7590 02/01/2007 ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			EXAMINER SHAPIRO, LEONID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/01/2007	PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/714,943		MAKUUCHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Leonid Shapiro		2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 November 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-6,8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seiichi in view of Lee (US Patent No. 6,781,535 B2).

As to claim 1, Seiichi teaches a semiconductor device including liquid crystal driving circuit, liquid crystal driving circuit comprising a digital functional unit (See Drawing 1, items 11, 17, paragraph 0010) and an analog functional unit (See Drawing 1, items 19-20, paragraphs 0018-0019) and a first terminal for outputting an output of a test result of said digital functional unit toward outside of said liquid crystal driving circuit without passing through said analog functional unit (See Drawing 1, item 16, paragraphs 0007, 0015).

Seiichi does not disclose a shift register coupled between digital functional unit and analog functional unit.

Lee teaches a shift register coupled between digital functional unit and analog functional unit (See Fig. 2, items 310-360, from Col. 1, Line 36 to Col. 2, Line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Lee into Seiichi system and coupled a first terminal to shift register in order to minimize the size of an IC (See Abstract in Lee reference).

As to claim 2, Seiichi teaches a semiconductor device including liquid crystal driving circuit, liquid crystal driving circuit comprising a digital functional unit (See Drawing 1, items 11, 17, paragraph 0010) and an analog functional unit (See Drawing 1, items 19-20, paragraphs 0018-0019) and a first terminal for outputting an output of a test result of said digital functional unit toward outside of said liquid crystal driving circuit without through said analog functional unit (See Drawing 1, item 16, paragraphs 0007, 0015).

Seiichi does not disclose a shift register.

Lee teaches a shift register coupled between digital functional unit and analog functional unit (See Fig. 2, items 310-360, from Col. 1, Line 36 to Col. 2, Line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Lee into Seiichi system and through shift register in order to minimize the size of an IC (See Abstract in Lee reference).

As to claim 8, Seiichi teaches a testing method of a semiconductor device having liquid crystal driving circuit including digital functional unit (See Drawing 1, items 11, 17, paragraph 0010) and an analog functional unit (See Drawing 1, items 19-20, paragraphs 0018-0019), said method comprising the steps of:

functionally dividing said digital functional unit and said analog functional unit from each other (See paragraphs 0006-0008);  
and

outputting an output of a test result said digital functional unit to outside of said liquid crystal driving circuit through a first terminal provided on said device without via said analog functional unit (See Drawing 1, item 16, paragraph 0010).

Seiichi does not disclose a shift register coupled between digital functional unit and analog functional unit.

Lee teaches a shift register coupled between digital functional unit and analog functional unit (See Fig. 2, items 310-360, from Col. 1, Line 36 to Col. 2, Line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Lee into Seiichi system and coupled a first terminal to shift register in order to minimize the size of an IC (See Abstract in Lee reference).

As to claim 9, Seiichi teaches a testing method of a semiconductor device having liquid crystal driving circuit including digital functional unit (See Drawing 1, items 11, 17, paragraph 0010) and an analog functional unit (See Drawing 1, items 19-20, paragraphs 0018-0019), said method comprising the steps of:

functionally dividing said digital functional unit and said analog functional unit (See paragraphs 0006-0008).

Seiichi does not disclose a shift register coupled between digital functional unit and analog functional unit.

Lee teaches a shift register coupled between digital functional unit and analog functional unit (See Fig. 2, items 310-360, from Col. 1, Line 36 to Col. 2, Line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Lee into Seiichi system and coupled a first terminal to shift register in order to minimize the size of an IC (See Abstract in Lee reference).

As to claims 3-4, Seiichi teaches digital display controller and said analog functional unit includes display data storage RAM (Drawing 1, item 17), functional unit includes a gradation voltage generating circuit and voltage selecting circuit (Drawing 1, items 19-20), and said device includes hold means for holding an output said display data storage RAM (Drawing 1, item 21), and Matsumoto teaches to read data held said hold means outside said liquid crystal driving circuit through a data output terminal and sets predetermined data said hold means from outside said liquid crystal driving circuit through said third (first) terminal unit (See Fig. 1, item 15, Col. 5, Lines 21-28) and Lee teaches shift register (See Fig. 2, items 310-360, from Col. 1, Line 36 to Col. 2, Line 5).

As to claims 5-6, Seiichi teaches first terminal is used while sharing with a terminal for use during a normal operation (See Drawing 1, item 16) and Lee teaches shift register (See Fig. 2, items 310-360, from Col. 1, Line 36 to Col. 2, Line 5).

As to claim 10, Seiichi teaches digital functional unit and said analog functional unit are controlled independently of each other to perform testing of said digital functional unit and testing of said analog functional unit in an overlapping manner (See paragraphs 0025-0026).

As to claim 11, Seiichi teaches digital functional unit and said analog functional unit are controlled independently of each other to perform testing of said digital

functional unit and testing of said analog functional unit in an overlapping manner (See paragraphs 0025-0026).

As to claim 12, Seiichi teaches the testing of said digital functional unit includes a display function test, and the testing of said analog functional unit includes a gradation output test (See paragraphs 0015 and 0026).

As to claim 13, Seiichi teaches the testing of said digital functional unit includes a display function test, and the testing of said analog functional unit includes a gradation output test (See paragraphs 0015 and 0026).

2. Claims 7, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seiichi in view of Sakaguchi (US Patent No. 6,766,266 B1) and Lee.

As to claim 7, Seiichi teaches a semiconductor device having a liquid crystal driving circuit, wherein liquid crystal driving circuit includes a digital functional unit (See Drawing 1, items 11, 17, paragraph 0010) including at least display controller (See Drawing 1, item 12, and an analog functional unit including a gradation voltage generating circuit and a gradation voltage selecting circuit (See Drawing 1, items 19-20, paragraphs 0018-0019).

Seiichi does not disclose changeover means for changing an output of said gradation voltage generating circuit to a predetermined two-level voltage value.

Sakaguchi teaches changeover means for changing an output of said gradation voltage generating circuit to a predetermined two-level voltage value (See Fig. 5, items 15-17, Col. 8, Lines 35-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Sakaguchi into Seiichi system in order to reduce the test time (See Col. 2, Lines 55-63 in Sakaguchi reference).

Seiichi and Sakaguchi do not disclose a shift register coupled between digital functional unit and analog functional unit.

Lee teaches a shift register coupled between digital functional unit and analog functional unit (See Fig. 2, items 310-360, from Col. 1, Line 36 to Col. 2, Line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Lee into Seiichi and Sakaguchi system in order to minimize the size of an IC (See Abstract in Lee reference).

As to claim 14, Seiichi teaches a testing method of a semiconductor device having a liquid crystal driving circuit including a digital functional unit (See Drawing 1, items 11, 17, paragraph 0010) with display controller (See Drawing 1, item 12) and display data storage RAM (See Drawing 1, item 17), and an analog functional unit including a gradation voltage generating circuit and a gradation voltage selecting circuit (See Drawing 1, items 19-20, paragraphs 0018-0019).

Seiichi does not disclose changing an output of said gradation voltage generating circuit to a two-level voltage value by changeover means; selectively setting each gradation voltage at one of different two-level voltage values; and changing an output voltage of said liquid crystal driving circuit two-level voltage to thereby perform a gradation output test.



Sakaguchi teaches changing an output of said gradation voltage generating circuit to a two-level voltage value by changeover means; selectively setting each gradation voltage at one of different two-level voltage values; and changing an output voltage of said liquid crystal driving circuit two-level voltage to thereby perform a gradation output test (See Fig. 5, items 15-17, Col. 8, Lines 35-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Sakaguchi into Seiichi system in order to reduce the test time (See Col. 2, Lines 55-63 in Sakaguchi reference).

Seiichi and Sakaguchi do not disclose a shift register coupled between digital functional unit and analog functional unit.

Lee teaches a shift register coupled between digital functional unit and analog functional unit (See Fig. 2, items 310-360, from Col. 1, Line 36 to Col. 2, Line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Lee into Seiichi and Sakaguchi system in order to minimize the size of an IC (See Abstract in Lee reference).

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### ***Telephone Inquire***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 571-272-7683. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LS  
01.30.07



**RICHARD HJERPE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**